

Albanese Kernel of the Product of Curves Over A p-adic Field

著者	Hiranouchi Toshiro
journal or publication title	Bulletin of the Kyushu Institute of Technology. Pure and applied mathematics
volume	68
page range	1-8
year	2021-03-31
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10228/00008063

ALBANESE KERNEL OF THE PRODUCT OF CURVES OVER A p -ADIC FIELD

Toshiro HIRANOUCHI

Abstract

In this short note, we investigate the image of the Kummer map associated to an abelian variety over a p -adic field. As a byproduct, we give the structure of the Albanese kernel of the product of curves over a p -adic field under some assumptions. The result has already known by E. Gazaki [1], but the proof is completely different.

1. Introduction

In this note, we compute the Albanese kernel for the product of curves over k as a generalization of [10]. Precisely, we use the following notation: For $i = 1, 2$,

- X_i : a smooth projective curve over k with k -rational point $X_i(k) \neq \emptyset$, and
- $J_i := \text{Jac}(X_i)$: the Jacobian variety associated to X_i of dimension g_i .

The kernel of the degree map $\deg : \text{CH}_0(X_1 \times X_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is denoted by $A_0(X_1 \times X_2)$. The kernel $T(X_1 \times X_2)$ of the Albanese map

$$\text{alb} : A_0(X_1 \times X_2) \rightarrow \text{Alb}_{X_1 \times X_2}(k) = J_1(k) \oplus J_2(k)$$

which is called the **Albanese kernel** is also written by the Somekawa K -group associated to J_1 and J_2 as

$$T(X_1 \times X_2) \simeq K(k; J_1, J_2)$$

([7]). From the same computation as in [10], Theorem 4.1, we recover the following theorem which is proved in [1], Corollary 8.9:

THEOREM 1.1. *Assume that the Jacobian varieties J_1 and J_2 satisfy*

(Ord) J_i has good ordinary reduction, and

(Rat) $J_i[p^n] \subset J_i(k)$.

Then, we have

$$T(X_1 \times X_2)/p^n \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p^n)^{\oplus g_1 g_2}.$$

Note that the condition **(Rat)** implies that $\mu_p \subset k$ and hence the ramification index of k is $\geq p - 1$. On the contrary, even in the case where X_1 and X_2 are elliptic curves, it is known that $T(X_1 \times X_2)/p^n = 0$ for all n when k is unramified over \mathbf{Q}_p ([3]).

Notation

Throughout this note, we use the following notation:

- k : a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_p .

For a finite extension K/k , we define

- O_K : the valuation ring of K with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_K ,
- $\mathbf{F}_K = O_K/\mathfrak{m}_K$: the residue field of K , and
- $U_K = O_K^\times$: the unit group.

For an abelian group G and $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we write $G[m]$ and G/m for the kernel and cokernel of the multiplication by m on G respectively.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 20K03536.

2. Mackey functors

DEFINITION 2.1 (*cf.* [7], Sect. 3). A **Mackey functor** \mathcal{M} (over k) (or a G_k -**modulation** in the sense of [6], Def. 1.5.10) is a contravariant functor from the category of étale schemes over k to the category of abelian groups equipped with a covariant structure for finite morphisms such that

$$\mathcal{M}(X_1 \sqcup X_2) = \mathcal{M}(X_1) \oplus \mathcal{M}(X_2)$$

and if

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

is a Cartesian diagram, then the induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(X') & \xrightarrow{g'_*} & \mathcal{M}(X) \\ f'^* \uparrow & & \uparrow f^* \\ \mathcal{M}(Y') & \xrightarrow{g_*} & \mathcal{M}(Y) \end{array}$$

commutes.

For a Mackey functor \mathcal{M} , we denote by $\mathcal{M}(K)$ its value $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{Spec}(K))$ for a field extension K of k . For any finite extensions $k \subset K \subset L$, the induced homomorphisms from the canonical map $j : \mathrm{Spec}(L) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(K)$ are denoted by

$$N_{L/K} := j_* : \mathcal{M}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(K), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Res}_{L/K} := j^* : \mathcal{M}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(L).$$

The category of Mackey functors over k forms an abelian category with the following tensor product:

DEFINITION 2.2 (cf. [4]). For Mackey functors \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} , their **Mackey product** $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ is defined as follows: For any finite field extension k'/k ,

$$(1) \quad (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})(k') := \left(\bigoplus_{K/k': \text{finite}} \mathcal{M}(K) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{N}(K) \right) / (\mathbf{PF}),$$

where (\mathbf{PF}) stands for the subgroup generated by elements of the following form:

(PF) For finite field extensions $k' \subset K \subset L$,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{L/K}(x) \otimes y - x \otimes \text{Res}_{L/K}(y) & \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{M}(L), y \in \mathcal{N}(K), \quad \text{and} \\ x \otimes N_{L/K}(y) - \text{Res}_{L/K}(x) \otimes y & \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{M}(K), y \in \mathcal{N}(L). \end{aligned}$$

For the Mackey product $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$, we denote by $\{x, y\}_{K/k'}$ the image of $x \otimes y \in \mathcal{M}(K) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{N}(K)$ in the product $(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})(k')$. For any finite field extension k'/k , the push-forward

$$(2) \quad N_{k'/k} = j_* : (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})(k') \rightarrow (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})(k)$$

is given by $N_{k'/k}(\{x, y\}_{K/k'}) = \{x, y\}_{K/k}$. For each $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we define a Mackey functor \mathcal{M}/m by

$$(3) \quad (\mathcal{M}/m)(K) := \mathcal{M}(K)/m$$

for any finite extension K/k . We have

$$(\mathcal{M}/m \otimes \mathcal{N}/m)(k) \simeq (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})(k)/m = ((\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})/m)(k) \quad (\text{cf. (3)}).$$

Every G_k -module M defines a Mackey functor defined by the fixed sub module $M(K) := M^{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/K)}$ which is also denoted by M . Conversely, assume a Mackey functor \mathcal{M} satisfies **Galois descent**, meaning that, for every finite Galois extension L/K , the restriction

$$\text{Res}_{L/K} : \mathcal{M}(K) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{M}(L)^{\text{Gal}(L/K)}$$

is an isomorphism. For any $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the connecting homomorphism associated to the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}[m] \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{m} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow 0$ as G_k -modules gives

$$(4) \quad \delta_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}(K)/m \hookrightarrow H^1(K, \mathcal{M}[m])$$

which is often called the **Kummer map**.

DEFINITION 2.3 (cf. [9], Prop. 1.5). For Mackey functors \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} with Galois descent, the **Galois symbol map**

$$(5) \quad s_m^M : (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})(k)/m \rightarrow H^2(k, \mathcal{M}[m] \otimes \mathcal{N}[m])$$

is defined by the cup product and the corestriction as follows:

$$s_m^M(\{x, y\}_{K/k}) = \text{Cor}_{K/k}(\delta_{\mathcal{M}}(x) \cup \delta_{\mathcal{N}}(y)).$$

3. Galois symbol map

Let A be an abelian variety of dimension g over k . We assume that

(Ord) A has good ordinary reduction, and

(Rat) $A[p^n] \subset A(k)$.

We denote by \hat{A} the formal group over O_k of A . Let k^{ur} be the completion of the maximal unramified extension of k . It is known that we have $\hat{A} \times_{O_k} \text{Spf}(O_{k^{\text{ur}}}) \simeq (\hat{\mathbf{G}}_m)^{\oplus g}$, where $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_m$ is the multiplicative group ([5], Lem. 4.26, Lem. 4.27). Since we have $A[p^n] \subset A(k)$, $\hat{A}[p^n] \subset \hat{A}(k) =: \hat{A}(\mathfrak{m}_k)$ and hence we obtain isomorphisms

$$(6) \quad \hat{A}[p^n] = \hat{A}(k^{\text{ur}})[p^n] \simeq ((\hat{\mathbf{G}}_m)(k^{\text{ur}})[p^n])^{\oplus g} \simeq (\mu_{p^n})^{\oplus g}.$$

Now, we choose an isomorphism

$$(7) \quad A[p^n] \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\mu_{p^n})^{\oplus 2g}$$

of (trivial) Galois modules which makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{A}[p^n] & \hookrightarrow & A[p^n] \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ (\mu_{p^n})^{\oplus g} & \xrightarrow{(\text{id}, 1)} & (\mu_{p^n})^{\oplus g} \oplus (\mu_{p^n})^{\oplus g}, \end{array}$$

where the left vertical map is given in (6), and the bottom horizontal map is defined by

$$(\mu_{p^n})^{\oplus g} \rightarrow (\mu_{p^n})^{\oplus 2g}; \quad (x_1, \dots, x_g) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_g, 1, \dots, 1).$$

By the same proof of [2], Prop. 3.1, one can determine the image of the Kummer map as follows:

PROPOSITION 3.1. *For any finite extension K/k , the image of the Kummer map*

$$\delta_A : A(K)/p^n \rightarrow H^1(K, A[p^n]) \simeq H^1(K, \mu_{p^n}^{\oplus 2g}) \simeq (K^\times/p^n)^{\oplus 2g}$$

coincides with

$$(\bar{U}_K)^{\oplus g} \oplus \text{Ker}(j : K^\times/p^n \rightarrow (K^{\text{ur}})^\times/p^n)^{\oplus g},$$

where \bar{U}_K is the image of $U_K = O_K^\times$ in K^\times/p , and j is the map induced from the inclusion $K^\times \hookrightarrow (K^{\text{ur}})^\times$.

The above isomorphism is extended to the isomorphism

$$(8) \quad A/p^n \simeq \mathcal{U}^{\oplus g} \oplus \mathcal{V}^{\oplus g}$$

of Mackey functors, where \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} are the sub Mackey functors of \mathbf{G}_m/p^n defined by

$$\mathcal{U}(K) := \text{Im}(U_K \rightarrow K^\times/p^n) = \bar{U}_K, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}(K) := \text{Ker}(j : K^\times/p^n \rightarrow (K^{\text{ur}})^\times/p^n),$$

for any finite extension K/k (cf. [2], Cor. 3.4).

4. Proof of Thm. 1.1

We show Thm. 1.1. From $K(k; J_1, J_2) \simeq T(X_1 \times X_2)$ (as noted in Introduction), it is enough to prove $K(k; J_1, J_2)/p^n \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p^n)^{\oplus g_1 g_2}$. Applying (8) in the last section to J_i , we have $J_i/p^n \simeq (\mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{V})^{\oplus g_i}$ after fixing $J_i[p^n] \simeq (\mu_{p^n})^{\oplus 2g_i}$ as in (7). We have

$$J_1/p^n \otimes J_2/p^n \simeq ((\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}) \oplus (\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V}) \oplus (\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{U}) \oplus (\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V}))^{\oplus g_1 g_2}.$$

The Galois symbol maps give the following commutative diagram:

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{s_{p^n}^M} & \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ (J_1/p^n \otimes J_2/p^n)(k) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K(k; J_1, J_2)/p^n \xrightarrow{s_{p^n}} H^2(k, J_1[p^n] \otimes J_2[p^n]) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ (\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U})(k)^{\oplus g_1 g_2} & & H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus 4g_1 g_2} \\ \oplus & \nearrow & \\ (\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V})(k)^{\oplus 2g_1 g_2} & & \\ \oplus & & \\ (\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V})(k)^{\oplus g_1 g_2} & & \end{array}$$

Here, $s_{p^n} : K(k; J_1, J_2)/p^n \rightarrow H^2(k, J_1[p^n] \otimes J_2[p^n])$ is injective ([7], Rem. 4.5.8 (b)), and the bottom map is the direct sum of the three kind of maps given by the composing the Galois symbol map after the natural maps $(\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U})(k) \rightarrow (\mathbf{G}_m/p^n \otimes \mathbf{G}_m/p^n)(k)$, $(\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V})(k) \rightarrow (\mathbf{G}_m/p^n \otimes \mathbf{G}_m/p^n)(k)$, or $(\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V})(k) \rightarrow (\mathbf{G}_m/p^n \otimes \mathbf{G}_m/p^n)(k)$. Precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 : (\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U})(k) &\rightarrow (\mathbf{G}_m/p^n \otimes \mathbf{G}_m/p^n)(k) \xrightarrow{s_{p^n}^M} H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2}), \\ s_2 : (\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V})(k) &\rightarrow (\mathbf{G}_m/p^n \otimes \mathbf{G}_m/p^n)(k) \xrightarrow{s_{p^n}^M} H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2}), \quad \text{and} \\ s_3 : (\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V})(k) &\rightarrow (\mathbf{G}_m/p^n \otimes \mathbf{G}_m/p^n)(k) \xrightarrow{s_{p^n}^M} H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2}). \end{aligned}$$

The image of the maps s_i are computed as follows:

LEMMA 4.1. (i) *The map s_1 is surjective.*
(ii) *The image of s_2 and s_3 are trivial.*

PROOF. (i) The Galois symbol map $s_{p^n}^M : (\mathbf{G}_m/p^n \otimes \mathbf{G}_m/p^n)(k) \rightarrow H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2})$ is written by the Hilbert symbol ([8], Chap. XIV, Sect. 2, Prop. 5) as the following commutative diagram indicates:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U})(k) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{G}_m/p^n \otimes \mathbf{G}_m/p^n)(k) & \xrightarrow{s_{p^n}^M} & H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2}) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{Cor}_{K/k} \\
 \bigoplus_{K/k} \mathcal{U}(K) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{U}(K) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{K/k} K^\times/p^n \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} K^\times/p^n & \xrightarrow{\delta \cup \delta} & \bigoplus_{K/k} H^2(K, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2}) \\
 & \searrow \text{dotted} & & \searrow (-, -) & \uparrow \simeq \\
 & & & & \bigoplus_{K/k} \mu_{p^n}
 \end{array}$$

where $(-, -) : K^\times \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} K^\times \rightarrow \mu_{p^n}$ is the Hilbert symbol. For each finite extension K/k , the Hilbert symbol from $\mathcal{U}(K) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{U}(K)$ (the dotted arrow in the above diagram) is surjective ([10], Prop. 2.5) so is s_1 .

(ii) By the same reasons as in (i), the image of $\mathcal{U}(K) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{V}(K)$ and $\mathcal{V}(K) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{V}(K)$ by the Hilbert symbol is trivial and hence $\text{Im}(s_1) = \text{Im}(s_2) = 0$ in $H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2})$. \square

Recall that we have $H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2}) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p^n$. From the above diagram (9), the above lemma implies

$$s_{p^n}^M((J_1/p^n \otimes J_2/p^n)(k)) \simeq K(k; J_1, J_2)/p^n \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p^n)^{\oplus g_1 g_2}.$$

References

- [1] E. Gazaki, A finer Tate duality theorem for local Galois symbols, *J. Algebra* **509** (2018), 337–385.
- [2] T. Hiranouchi, Galois symbol maps for abelian varieties over a p -adic field, *Acta Arith.* **197** (2021), no. 2, 137–157.
- [3] T. Hiranouchi and E. Gazaki, Divisibility results for zero-cycles, *arXiv:2004.05255 [math.AG]*.
- [4] B. Kahn, The decomposable part of motivic cohomology and bijectivity of the norm residue homomorphism, *Algebraic K-theory, commutative algebra, and algebraic geometry* (Santa Margherita Ligure, 1989), *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 126, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992, pp. 79–87.
- [5] B. Mazur, Rational points of abelian varieties with values in towers of number fields, *Invent. Math.* **18** (1972), 183–266.
- [6] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt, and K. Wingberg, *Cohomology of number fields*, second ed., *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*, vol. 323, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [7] W. Raskind and M. Spiess, Milnor K -groups and zero-cycles on products of curves over p -adic fields, *Compositio Math.* **121** (2000), 1–33.

- [8] J.-P. Serre, *Corps locaux*, Hermann, Paris, 1968, Deuxième édition, Publications de l'Université de Nancago, No. VIII.
- [9] M. Somekawa, On Milnor K -groups attached to semi-abelian varieties, *K-Theory* **4** (1990), no. 2, 105–119.
- [10] T. Takemoto, Zero-cycles on products of elliptic curves over p -adic fields, *Acta Arith.* **149** (2011), no. 3, 201–214.

(T. Hiranouchi)
Graduate School of Engineering
Kyushu Institute of Technology
Tobata, Kitakyushu 804-8550, Japan
E-mail: hira@mns.kyutech.ac.jp

